| | Case 1:23-cv-001/1-JLT-SAB Docume | ent 32 Filed 01/09/24 Page 1 of 2 |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | ESTATE OF JACOB APODACA, et al., | Case No. 1:23-cv-00171-JLT-SAB |
| 12 | Plaintiffs, | ORDER DISCHARGING ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND DISMISSING ACTION |
| 13 | v. | PURSUANT TO NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL |
| 14 | COUNTY OF MERCED, et al., | (ECF Nos. 29, 30) |
| 15 | Defendants. | (201 1105, 25, 50) |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | _ |
| 18 | On November 6, 2023, this matter was settled at a settlement conference and dispositional | |
| 19 | documents were to be filed withing sixty (60) days. (ECF No. 29.) On January 8, 2024, the Court | |
| 20 | issued an order to show cause as neither dispositional documents, nor a request for extension, was | |
| 21 | filed by the deadline. (ECF No. 30.) On January 8, 2024, a stipulation of dismissal was filed | |
| 22 | pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. (ECF No. 30.) | |
| 23 | While no party has provided a response to the order to show cause, in light of the | |
| 24 | stipulation of dismissal filed the same day the order to show cause was issued, the Court shall | |
| 25 | discharge the order to show cause. However, in most cases, the Court would not discharge an | |
| 26 | order to show cause until the parties have shown cause in writing why sanctions should not issue. | |
| 27 | /// | |
| 28 | /// | |
| | | 1 |
| | .l | |

Case 1:23-cv-00171-JLT-SAB Document 32 Filed 01/09/24 Page 2 of 2

In the January 8, 2024 stipulation of dismissal, the parties agree to dismiss the entire actions, ¹ including all claims and defenses alleged by all parties with prejudice, and with the parties to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees. In light of the filing, this action has been terminated, Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997), and has been dismissed with prejudice and without an award of costs or attorneys' fees.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The order to show cause issued on January 8, 2024, (ECF No. 30), is DISCHARGED; and
- 3. The Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to CLOSE the file in this case and adjust the docket to reflect voluntary dismissal of this action pursuant to Rule 41(a).

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 9, 2024

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

¹ On October 5, 2023, related cases 1:23-cv-00171-JLT-SAB and 1:23-cv-00864-JLT-EPG were consolidated, and 1:23-cv-00171-JLT-SAB was ordered to be the lead case. (ECF No. 21.)